

211.

ON A THEOREM RELATING TO HYPERGEOMETRIC SERIES.

[From the *Philosophical Magazine*, vol. XVI. (1858), pp. 356—357.]

IN attempting to verify a formula of Hansen's relating to the development of the disturbing function in the planetary theory, I was led to a theorem in hypergeometric series: viz. writing, as usual,

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{1 \cdot \gamma} x + \frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \beta \cdot \beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma + 1} x^2 + \dots$$

then the product

$$F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma + \frac{1}{2}, x) F(\gamma - \alpha, \gamma - \beta, \gamma + \frac{1}{2}, x)$$

is connected with

$$(1 - x)^{-(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)} F(2\alpha, 2\beta, 2\gamma, x)$$

by a simple relation; for if the last-mentioned expression is put equal to

$$1 + Bx + Cx^2 + Dx^3 + \dots$$

then the product in question is equal to

$$1 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \frac{1}{2}} Bx + \frac{\gamma \cdot \gamma + 1}{\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2}} Cx^2 + \frac{\gamma \cdot \gamma + 1 \cdot \gamma + 2}{\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}} Dx^3 + \&c.$$

The form of the identity thus arrived at will be best perceived by considering a particular case. Thus, comparing the coefficients of x^3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \alpha + 2 \cdot \beta \cdot \beta + 1 \cdot \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}} \cdot 1 \\
& + \frac{\alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \beta \cdot \beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha \cdot \gamma - \beta}{1 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2}} \\
& + \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 1 \cdot \gamma - \beta \cdot \gamma - \beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2}} \\
& + 1 \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 1 \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 2 \cdot \gamma - \beta \cdot \gamma - \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma - \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}} \\
= & \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\alpha + 1 \cdot 2\alpha + 2 \cdot 2\beta \cdot 2\beta + 1 \cdot 2\beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2\gamma \cdot 2\gamma + 1 \cdot 2\gamma + 2} \cdot 1 \\
& + \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\alpha + 1 \cdot 2\beta \cdot 2\beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2\gamma \cdot 2\gamma + 1} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta}{1} \\
& + \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\beta}{1 \cdot 2\gamma} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2} \\
& + 1 \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}
\end{aligned}
\left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\alpha + 1 \cdot 2\alpha + 2 \cdot 2\beta \cdot 2\beta + 1 \cdot 2\beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2\gamma \cdot 2\gamma + 1 \cdot 2\gamma + 2} \cdot 1 \\ & + \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\alpha + 1 \cdot 2\beta \cdot 2\beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2\gamma \cdot 2\gamma + 1} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta}{1} \\ & + \frac{2\alpha \cdot 2\beta}{1 \cdot 2\gamma} \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 1}{1 \cdot 2} \\ & + 1 \cdot \frac{\gamma - \alpha - \beta \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma - \alpha - \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \right\} \frac{\gamma \cdot \gamma + 1 \cdot \gamma + 2}{\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}}$$

It may be observed that the function on the right-hand side is, as regards α , a rational and integral function of the degree 3, and as such may be expanded in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& A \alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \alpha + 2 \\
& + B \alpha \cdot \alpha + 1 \quad \cdot \gamma - \alpha \\
& + C \alpha \quad \cdot \gamma - \alpha \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 1 \\
& + D \quad \cdot \gamma - \alpha \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 1 \cdot \gamma - \alpha + 2,
\end{aligned}$$

and that the last coefficient D can be obtained at once by writing $\alpha = 0$; this in fact gives

$$D \gamma \cdot \gamma + 1 \cdot \gamma + 2 = \frac{\gamma - \beta \cdot \gamma - \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma - \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3} \frac{\gamma \cdot \gamma + 1 \cdot \gamma + 2}{\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}},$$

and thence

$$D = \frac{\gamma - \beta \cdot \gamma - \beta + 1 \cdot \gamma - \beta + 2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \gamma + \frac{5}{2}},$$

which agrees with the left-hand side of the equation: and the value of the first coefficient A may be obtained in like manner with a little more difficulty; but I have not succeeded in obtaining a direct proof of the equation. The form of the equation shows that the left-hand side should vanish for $\gamma = -2$, which may be at once verified.

Grassmere, August 25, 1858.