

PIOTR KAROLEWSKI

**Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on changes in proline and hydroxyproline content in leaves of eight species and varieties from the genus *Weigela*\***

INTRODUCTION

Changes in the levels of free amino acids are a good indication of the reaction of plants to the action of sulphur dioxide. The action of SO<sub>2</sub> causes an increase in the general pool of free amino acids in such plants as clover (Arndt 1970), beans (Arndt 1970, Godzik and Linskens 1974), spruce (Jäger and Grill 1975), pine (Malhotra and Sarkar 1979, Lorenc-Plucińska 1983) and birch (Nikolaevskij et al. 1975).

A similar response of increase in free amino acids, particularly of proline is observed in plants subjected to a water stress (Kemble and Macpherson 1954; Thompson et al. 1966; Procenko et al. 1968, Hsiao 1973; Britikov 1975). Procenko et al. (1968) underline that when proline is formed toxic ammonia is being bound which normally is formed in plants during drought periods. This amino acid can also perform some other protective functions in plants subjected to stress from environmental factors.

An increase in the level of free proline is also observed under the influence of simultaneous action of several stress factors. This has been demonstrated among others by Tesche (1979) on Norway spruce trees exposed to sulphur dioxide, low temperature and drought. A positive correlation between the degree of tolerance of spruce grafts to the action of SO<sub>2</sub> and their tolerance to a water deficit has been demonstrated by Klein (1980). This would suggest the presence of common mechanisms in the reaction of plants to these factors.

---

\* The paper is a fragment of the author's doctoral thesis entitled "Biochemical factors determining sensitivity of plants to the action of sulphur dioxide". Silesian University in Katowice, May 1982. This study was supported by research fund MR II/16 coordinated by the Institute of Dendrology, Kórnik.

The action of  $\text{SO}_2$  on plants causes an increase in the intensity of ageing processes within them. Thus a hydroxylation of peptide bound proline that conditions changes in the maturation of tissues (Ridge and Osborne 1971) may play a certain role in the sensitivity of plants to the action of this gas.

The changes in the content of free proline under the influence of  $\text{SO}_2$  action and the hydroxylation of the proline bound in peptides in various species and varieties from the genus *Weigela* differing in sensitivity, were the subject of the present investigation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### PLANT MATERIAL

The experimental material consisted of one year old shoots (with 4-5 pairs of leaves) detached from 15-year old *Weigela* Thunb. shrubs growing in the Kórnik Arboretum of the Polish Academy of Sciences. The plants represented eight species and varieties, namely: *W. coraeensis*, *W. florida*, *W. 'Gustave Mallet'*, *W. × wagneri*, *W. japonica*, *W. 'Van Houtte'*, *W. 'Mme Couturier'* and *W. sp.*, seedling of 1930.

The shoots were cut from the shrubs choosing as far as possible a similar location on the shrub and a similar stage of development. Immediately after detaching the shoots they were placed with the detached end in containers with water.

For the amino acid analyse use was made of 36 shoots from each of the eight species and varieties of *Weigela*. Four shoots constituted one replicate. Twelve shoots (3 replicates 4 shoots each) were placed in a chamber with  $\text{SO}_2$ , 12 in a chamber without  $\text{SO}_2$  and 12 were used for immediate analysis of proline and hydroxyproline content.

### EXPOSITION OF PLANTS TO $\text{SO}_2$

The experiments were performed in controlled laboratory conditions. The shoots were exposed to the action of  $\text{SO}_2$  using the equipment described earlier and consisting of chambers within a climatized greenhouse and a dosing-analysing unit for sulphur dioxide (Białobok et al. 1978).

The plants were exposed to the action of sulphur dioxide at a concentration of 2 ppm (about  $5.34 \text{ mg} \times \text{m}^{-3}$ ) for 12 h ( $10^{00}$  -  $22^{00}$ ) in June. The relative air humidity was maintained at 60 - 70%, light illumination at 10 - 20 klx and temperature at 17 - 21°C. Additional shoots were exposed to  $\text{SO}_2$  for estimation of their sensitivity. After 12 h from the moment of termination of exposition the plants were estimated for leaf injury which was considered a measure of their sensitivity. When esti-

mating the injury use was made of a 6 point scale described earlier (Białobok et al. 1980).

Proline and hydroxyproline have been determined in leaves of control plants and exposed to the action of SO<sub>2</sub>. Whole leaves of the plants not subjected to the fumigation treatment were analysed, and in the case of fumigated plants with SO<sub>2</sub> only those parts of leaves that were not visibly injured.

#### ANALYTICAL METHODS

Leaf samples have been homogenized in 100% acetone at a temperature of -15°C. The homogenate was filtered through a Schott filter washing the residue with acetone to a complete washing out of the pigments. The residue was used to determine the bound amino acids proline and hydroxyproline.

Free proline was determined with the help of ninhydrin in water solutions after previous evaporation of the acetone under vacuum according to the method described by Bergman and Loxley (1970). Absorption of the solutions at a wavelength of 512 nm has been determined with the help of a Spekol (GDR) spectrophotometer. The results were presented in mg of proline × g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight.

After hydrolysis of the residue samples, using 12 N HCl in glass vials for 18 h at a temperature of 107°C and evaporation of the HCl under vacuum, bound proline has been determined identically as free proline.

In order to determine the content of bound hydroxyproline use was made of the Stegemann and Stalder (1967) method based on a colorimetric measurement of the absorption complex of the amino acid with p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde.

The content of bound amino acids has been given in mg × 100 mg<sup>-1</sup> of the sample.

The content of free and bound proline and hydroxyproline has been determined directly after detaching the shoots ( $Z_{K_0}$ ), after a time equal to the exposition time ( $Z_K$ ) and after treatment with SO<sub>2</sub> ( $Z_{SO_2}$ ).

#### STATISTICAL METHODS

Results of the estimate of the degree of leaf injury was verified statistically using the new multiple range test D (Okta 1976). The significance of differences between degrees of injury to the plants was determined at a confidence level of  $\alpha=0.05$ . For the mean values of injury degree a standard deviation was calculated. For an estimate of the interrelationships between traits, namely injury due to SO<sub>2</sub> treatment, level of proline and hydroxyproline and changes in the levels of these amino acids, in control plants and in those subjected to fumigation

with sulphur dioxide, the significance of correlation coefficients was estimated. They were given  $r^*$  when the coefficient was significant at  $\alpha=0.1$ ,  $r^{**}$  at  $\alpha=0.05$  and  $r^{***}$  at  $\alpha=0.01$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of the selection performed and grouping of mean values according to the degree of injury of leaves by  $\text{SO}_2$  allowed the conclusion that the species and varieties of *Weigela* which are less sensitive to this gas are *W. coraeensis* and *W. japonica* and the most sensitive ones were the *W. sp.* seedling of 1930, *W. florida*, *W. 'Gustave Mallet'* and *W × wagneri* (Tab. 1).

The results presented in the paper indicate (Tab. 2) that in leaves of plants subjected to the action of  $\text{SO}_2$  there results an accumulation of free proline. It is larger when the degree of injury of the plants is greater (Tab. 3). Accumulation of large quantities of free proline in leaves after the action of  $\text{SO}_2$  on the plants may be the consequence of proline synthesis (Mudd 1979) or its proteolysis (Fischer 1971; Malhotra and Sarkar 1979).

In the experiments conducted by me an increase in the content of free proline was observed also in leaves from detached shoots 12 hours after their detachment (Tab. 2). This increase was significantly greater in the more sensitive plants than in the less sensitive ones to  $\text{SO}_2$  (Tab. 3).

The action of  $\text{SO}_2$  has also caused an increase in the content of free proline in leaves of both the more and less sensitive species and varieties of *Weigela*. This may suggest that  $\text{SO}_2$  causes a further enhancement of

Table 1

Mean values of the degree of injury by  $\text{SO}_2$  of leaf surfaces of 8 species and varieties from the genus *Weigela*

<i>Weigela</i>	Degree of injury $a \pm \sigma$
<i>coraeensis</i>	0,50 ± 0,20 a*
<i>japonica</i>	1,33 ± 0,33 ab
'Van Houtte'	1,83 ± 0,44 bc
'Mme Couturier'	2,17 ± 0,29 bc
× <i>wagneri</i>	2,67 ± 0,28 cd
'Gustave Mallet'	3,33 ± 0,53 d
<i>florida</i>	3,33 ± 0,35 d
<i>sp.</i> 1930 seedling	3,58 ± 0,54 d

\* When the same letter is next to a mean injury level it indicates that the values are not significantly different at a confidence level of  $\alpha=0.05$ .

Table 2

Content of proline and hydroxyproline in leaves of 8 species and varieties from the genus *Weigela* in control ( $K_0$ -before exposition to  $SO_2$ ;  $K$  – after a time equal to that in the treated plants) and  $SO_2$  treated plants ( $SO_2$ )

Weigela variety	Free proline $mg \times g^{-1}$ fresh wt.			Bound proline $mg \times 100 mg^{-1}$ sample			Bound hydroxyproline $mg \times 100 mg^{-1}$ sample			Bound proline Bound hydroxyproline		
	$K_0$	$K$	$SO_2$	$K_0$	$K$	$SO_2$	$K_0$	$K$	$SO_2$	$K_0$	$K$	$SO_2$
<i>coraensis</i>	0,145 ± 0,018	0,167 ± 0,010	0,270 ± 0,054	0,700 ± 0,019	0,850 ± 0,057	1,167 ± 0,032	0,153 ± 0,024	0,144 ± 0,019	0,165 ± 0,006	4,6	5,9	7,1
<i>japonica</i>	0,119 ± 0,019	0,178 ± 0,011	0,359 ± 0,040	0,767 ± 0,081	0,850 ± 0,086	0,825 ± 0,041	0,137 ± 0,047	0,139 ± 0,017	0,159 ± 0,018	5,6	6,1	5,2
'Van Houtte'	0,084 ± 0,011	0,142 ± 0,021	0,450 ± 0,041	1,063 ± 0,205	0,917 ± 0,130	0,850 ± 0,162	0,155 ± 0,016	0,150 ± 0,014	0,196 ± 0,020	6,8	6,1	4,3
'Mme Couturier'	0,130 ± 0,004	0,199 ± 0,016	0,523 ± 0,047	0,958 ± 0,105	1,000 ± 0,054	1,017 ± 0,097	0,157 ± 0,009	0,150 ± 0,017	0,159 ± 0,027	6,1	6,7	6,4
<i>wagneri</i>	0,128 ± 0,026	0,187 ± 0,014	0,481 ± 0,050	1,150 ± 0,214	1,200 ± 0,114	0,925 ± 0,071	0,167 ± 0,009	0,147 ± 0,017	0,137 ± 0,023	6,9	8,2	6,7
'Gustaw Mallet'	0,104 ± 0,004	0,160 ± 0,010	0,594 ± 0,061	1,092 ± 0,083	0,958 ± 0,225	0,883 ± 0,099	0,159 ± 0,024	0,153 ± 0,024	0,149 ± 0,045	6,9	6,3	5,9
<i>florida</i>	0,124 ± 0,029	0,208 ± 0,026	0,767 ± 0,022	1,107 ± 0,158	1,058 ± 0,113	0,633 ± 0,099	0,150 ± 0,006	0,185 ± 0,011	0,145 ± 0,019	7,4	5,7	4,4
sp. 1930 seedling	0,095 ± 0,013	0,204 ± 0,004	0,688 ± 0,064	1,392 ± 0,047	1,150 ± 0,220	0,767 ± 0,142	0,160 ± 0,010	0,219 ± 0,034	0,125 ± 0,012	8,7	5,2	6,1

Table 3

A matrix of correlation coefficients between the degree of plant injury by  $SO_2$  (a) and the content or changes in content of free proline (PROf), bound proline (PROb) and bound hydroxyproline (HYPROb) in leaves of 8 species and varieties of *Weigela* immediately after detaching shoots from plants ( $Z_{K_0}$ ), after a time equal to treatment ( $Z_K$ ) and after treatment with  $SO_2$  ( $Z_{SO_2}$ ) and changes in the content of these amino acids ( $Z_{K-K_0}$  and  $Z_{SO_2-K}$ )

		a	$K_0$				K			
			$Z_{PROf}$	$Z_{PROb}$	$Z_{HYPROb}$	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	$Z_{PROf}$	$Z_{PROb}$	$Z_{HYPROb}$	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$
$K_0$	$Z_{PROf}$	-0,527								
	$Z_{PROb}$	0,890***								
	$Z_{HYPROb}$	0,352								
	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	0,906***								
K	$Z_{PROf}$	0,407	0,316							
	$Z_{PROb}$	0,744**		0,831***						
	$Z_{HYPROb}$	0,646*			0,500					
	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	-0,053				-0,190				
$SO_2$	$Z_{PROf}$	0,948***					0,519			
	$Z_{PROb}$	-0,692*						-0,376		
	$Z_{HYPROb}$	-0,791**							-0,719**	
	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	-0,220								0,358
$K-K_0$	$Z_{PROf}$	0,949***	-0,817**							
	$Z_{PROb}$	-0,728**		-0,845**						
	$Z_{HYPROb}$	0,565			-0,091					
	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	-0,702**				-0,847***				
$SO_2-K$	$Z_{PROf}$	0,940***					0,387			
	$Z_{PROb}$	-0,842***						-0,803**		
	$Z_{HYPROb}$	-0,743**							-0,889***	
	$Z_{PRO:HYPRO}$	-0,165								-0,471

the process of free proline accumulation over and above the accumulation caused by detaching the shoot from the parent plant. After treating with sulphur dioxide in leaves of the more sensitive species and varieties of *Weigela* the increase in the content of the amino acid was greater compared to that in the less sensitive varieties. Similar relationships have been observed by Hanson et al. (1977), when studying the sensitivity of rye seedlings to a water stress. They have found that the more sensitive the plant is the greater was the increase in their leaves of free proline.

The increase in free proline observed here following action with SO<sub>2</sub> probably takes place along a series of changes: glutamic acid → glutamic- $\gamma$ -semialdehyde → proline-5-carboxylic acid → proline. The possibility of proline synthesis from glutamic acid along this pathway has been shown by Durzan and Ramaiah (1971) and Durzan (1973).

Studying the possible pathways for free proline synthesis when plants wilt several authors have found (Stewart et al. 1966; Palfi, 1968; Nylor, 1972) that the synthesis is associated with an accumulation of sugars and the formation of  $\alpha$ -ketoglutaric acid on their oxidation. Increase in the content of mono- and poly-saccharides occurs also under the influence of SO<sub>2</sub>. This was observed also by Börtitz (1967, 1968) in field conditions following the action of SO<sub>2</sub> on larch and pine and it has resulted in a complete hydrolysis of starch in needles of these trees. Also Mudd (1979) has reported that the action of SO<sub>2</sub> at a concentration of 0.77 ppm on bean seedlings has caused an increased incorporation of <sup>14</sup>C to soluble sugars and a decline in the level of labelled starch. The increase in sugar level may be the result of rapid starch hydrolysis caused by SO<sub>2</sub> or a decline in its synthesis.

Proline together with hexoses is an effective activator of the Krebs cycle (Britikov et al. 1970; Britikov 1975). This may contribute to the stimulation of respiration of plants following SO<sub>2</sub> as observed by some investigators (Lorenc-Plucińska 1978).

The results of experiments conducted indicate that there is a decline in the level of bound proline and hydroxyproline in leaves of various species and varieties of *Weigela* following the action with SO<sub>2</sub> (Tab. 2). This decline was proportional to the degree of sensitivity of the studied varieties (Table 3). It is most probably caused by a hydrolysis of proteins after SO<sub>2</sub> action. This was also observed by Fischer (1971), Malhotra and Sarkar (1979) and Constantinidou and Kozłowski (1979).

Comparing the changes in the levels of bound hydroxyproline in leaves of plants after SO<sub>2</sub> action a decline in its level was observed only in the most sensitive species and varieties (Tab. 2). In the less sensitive species and varieties an increase in bound hydroxyproline was observed. This is confirmed by investigations of the kinetics of changes in the le-

vels of proline and hydroxyproline under the influence of  $\text{SO}_2$  in the leaves of rooted cuttings of two *Weigela* varieties differing in sensitivity to  $\text{SO}_2$  (Karolewski 1984). This would suggest that when the reaction of plants to  $\text{SO}_2$  treatment is not sufficiently intense to cause a proteolysis the gas causes an increase in the process of hydrolysis of peptide bound proline.

After detachment of the shoots a tendency was observed for a decline in the content of bound proline in leaves of plants and an increase in the level of bound hydroxyproline. This has resulted in a lowering of the PRO:HYPRO ratio. The magnitude of this ratio was greater when the plants were more sensitive to the action of the gas (Tab. 3). Sulphur dioxide intensified the changes, with the difference however that in the more sensitive plants there occurred not an increase but a decline of the level of bound hydroxyproline. This may indicate that protein hydrolysis was intensified in the case of the most sensitive species and varieties used in the experiment. Thus  $\text{SO}_2$ , similarly as was observed by Chrispeels et al. (1974), in ageing plant tissues, intensifies the process of proline hydroxylation.

The results presented in this paper indicate that the differences in the degree of sensitivity of plants may be the consequence of differences in the intensity of ageing processes in cells that are induced by the action of  $\text{SO}_2$ . Results of this investigation suggest also that the content of proline and hydroxyproline in leaves may be one of the indicators useful in determining the negative influence of  $\text{SO}_2$  on plants.

A clear evaluation of the role of proline and hydroxyproline in the reaction of plants to  $\text{SO}_2$  action is however very difficult now. Sulphur dioxide is a non-specific compound interfering in several metabolic processes. Thus the changes occurring under the influence of this gas in the level of proline and hydroxyproline may occur as a result of direct  $\text{SO}_2$  action or indirectly through an earlier disturbance in some more sensitive metabolic chain.

#### SUMMARY

The role of proline and hydroxyproline in the leaves of 8 species and varieties from the genus *Weigela* was investigated in relation to sensitivity to  $\text{SO}_2$ . Changes were also observed in the levels of these amino acids following action with this gas. It was found that the greater was the sensitivity of the plants to this gas the greater was the level of proline bound in proteins in leaves and the proline/hydroxyproline ratio. The action of  $\text{SO}_2$  has caused an accumulation of free proline in leaves



and it was greater in the more sensitive species and varieties. After treatment with SO<sub>2</sub> there was a decline in the level of both the studied amino acids bound in proteins. This decline was proportional to the sensitivity of the studied species and varieties.

Institute of Dendrology  
62-035 Kórnik, Poland

## LITERATURE

1. Arndt T., 1970. Konzentrationsveränderungen bei freien Aminosäuren in Pflanzen unter dem Einfluss von Fluorwasserstoff und Schwefeldioxid. Staub, 30: 256 - 259.
2. Bergman L., Loxley R., 1970. New spectrophotometric method for the determination of proline in tissue hydrolyzates. Anal. Chem. 42 (7): 702 - 706.
3. Białobok S., Karolewski P., Rachwał L., 1978. Charakterystyka urządzeń służących do badania wpływu szkodliwych gazów na rośliny. Arboretum Kórnickie 23: 239 - 249.
4. Białobok S., Karolewski P., Oleksyn J., 1980. Sensitivity of Scots pine needles from mother trees and their progenies to the action of SO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, a mixture of these gases, NO<sub>2</sub> and HF. Arboretum Kórnickie 25: 289 - 303.
5. Börtitz S., 1967. Physiological and biochemical contribution to research on fume damage. 774 Report. Effect of lethal SO<sub>2</sub> fumes on the starch economy of conifer needles. Biol. Zentralbl. 86: 376.
6. Börtitz S., 1968. Physiologische and biochemische Beiträge zur Rauchschaadenforschung. 7 Mitt. Einfluss letaler SO<sub>2</sub>-Begasungen auf Stärkehaushalt von Koniferennadeln. Biol. Zentralbl. 87: 62 - 70.
7. Britikov E. A., Schrauwen J. S., Linskens H. F., 1970. Proline as a source of nitrogen in plant metabolism. Acta Bot. Neerb. 19: 515 - 520.
8. Britikov E. A., 1975. Biologičeskaja rol' prolina. Nauka, Moskwa.
9. Chrispeels M. J., Sadava D., Cho Y. P., 1974. Enhancement of extension biosynthesis in ageing disks of carrot storage tissue. J. Exp. Bot. 25 (89): 1157 - 1166.
10. Constantinidou H. A., Kozłowski T. T., 1979. Effects of sulfur dioxide and ozone on *Ulmus americana* seedlings. II. Carbohydrates proteins, and lipids. Can. J. Bot. 57: 176 - 184.
11. Durzan D. J., Ramaiah P. K., 1971. The metabolism of L-proline by jack pine seedlings, Can. J. Bot. 49: 2163 - 2173.
12. Durzan D. J., 1973. Nitrogen metabolism of *Picea glauca*. V. Metabolism of uniformly labeled <sup>14</sup>C-proline and <sup>14</sup>C-glutamine by dormant buds in late fall. Can. J. Bot. 51: 359 - 369.
13. Fischer K., 1971. Methoden zur Erkennung und Beurteilung forstschädlicher Luftverunreinigungen. Chemische und physikalische Reaktionen SO<sub>2</sub>-begaster Pflanzen und Blätter. Mitt. Forstl. Bundes-Versuchsanst. Wien. 92: 209 - 231.
14. Godzik S., Linskens H. F., 1974. Concentration changes of free amino acids in primary bean leaves after continuous and interrupted SO<sub>2</sub> fumigation and recovery. Environ. Pollut. 7: 25 - 38.

15. Hanson A. D., Nelson C. E., Everson E. H., 1977. Evaluation of free proline accumulation as an index of drought resistance using two contrasting barley cultivars. *Crop. Sci.* 17: 720 - 726.
16. Hsiao T., 1973. Plant response of water stress. *Annals Review of Plant Physiol.* 24: 519.
17. Jäger H. J., Grill D., 1975. Einfluss von SO<sub>2</sub> und HF auf freie amino-säuren der Fichte (*Picea abies* (L.) Karsten). *Europ. J. For. Pathol.* 5: 279 - 286.
18. Karolewski P., 1984. Influence of SO<sub>2</sub> on changes in the content of proline and hydroxyproline in the leaves of rooted *Weigela* cuttings. *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.* 53(2): 237 - 245.
19. Kemble A. R., Macpherson H. T., 1954. Liberation of amino acids in Perennial Zea grass during wilting. *Biochem. J.* 58: 46 - 49.
20. Klein E., 1980. Zusammenhänge zwischen Immisions- und Trockensistenz bei Fichte *Picea abies* (L.) Karst. *Europ. J. For. Path.* 10: 186 - 190.
21. Lorenc-Plucińska G., 1978. The effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on the photosynthesis and dark respiration of larch and pine differing in resistance to this gas. *Arboretum Kórnickie* 23: 121 - 132.
22. Lorenc-Plucińska G., 1983. SO<sub>2</sub> effect on the dynamics of <sup>14</sup>C incorporation into photosynthates in Scots pine. *Photosynthetica* 17 (1): 20 - 27.
23. Malhotra S. S., Sarkar S. K., 1979. Effect of sulphur dioxide on sugar and free amino acid content of pine seedlings. *Physiol. Plant.* 47: 223 - 228.
24. Mudd J. B., 1979. Physiological and biochemical effects of ozone and sulphur dioxide. Symposium on the effects of air-borne pollution on vegetation. Warsaw, 20 - 24 August.
25. Naylor A. W., 1972. Water deficit and nitrogen metabolism. Water deficit and plant growth. ed. Kozłowski T. T. 3: 241 - 254.
26. Nikolaevskij V. S., Mirošnikova A. T., Firger V. V., Belokrylova L. M., 1975. O mechanizme toksičeskogo dejstvija sernistogo gaza na rastenija. *Gazoustojčivost' rastenij*. Perm.: 27 - 48.
27. Oktaba W., 1976. Elementy statystyki matematycznej i metodyka doświadczalnictwa, PWN. Warszawa.
28. Palfi G., 1968. Changes in the amino acid content of detached wilting leaves of *Solanum laciniatum*. Ait in the light and in the dark. *Acta Agron. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 17: 381 - 388.
29. Procenko D. F., Šmat'ko I. G., Rybanjuk E. A., 1968. Ustojčivost' ozimnych pšeníc k zasuche v svjazi s ich aminokislotnym sostavom. *Fiziol. Rastenij*, 15: 680 - 688.
30. Ridge I., Osborne D. J., 1971. Role of peroxidase when hydroxyproline-rich protein in plant cell wall is increased by ethylene. *Nature New Biology* 229 (7): 205 - 208.
31. Stegemann H., Stalder K., 1967. Determination of hydroxyproline. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 18: 267 - 273.
32. Stewart C. R., Morris C. J., Thompson J. F., 1966. Changes in amino acid content of excised leaves during incubation. *Plant Physiol.* 42: 1585 - 1586.
33. Tesche M., 1979. Wirkungen von Umweltstress auf Fichten. UNESCO-MABIJUFRO Symposium Stability of Spruce Forest Ecosystems. Brno. 21 June.
34. Thompson J. F., Stewart C. R., Morris C. J., 1966. Changes in amino acid content of excised leaves during incubation. The effect of water content of leaves and atmosphere oxygens level. *Plant Physiol.* 44: 1578 - 1584.

*Wpływ SO<sub>2</sub> na zmiany zawartości proliny i hydroksyproliny w liściach ośmiu gatunków i odmian z rodzaju Weigela*

Streszczenie

Badano wpływ zawartości proliny i hydroksyproliny w liściach ośmiu gatunków i odmian z rodzaju *Weigela* na ich wrażliwość na działanie SO<sub>2</sub>. Określano również zmiany zawartości badanych aminokwasów po działaniu gazu. Stwierdzono, że wrażliwość roślin na działanie SO<sub>2</sub> była tym większa, im większa była zawartość w liściach związanej z białkiem proliny i stosunek związanych proliny do hydroksyproliny. Działanie SO<sub>2</sub> powodowało akumulację wolnej proliny w liściach, przy czym była ona większa u gatunków i odmian bardziej wrażliwych na ten gaz. Po działaniu SO<sub>2</sub> stwierdzono spadek zawartości obydwu badanych aminokwasów związanych z białkiem. Był on proporcjonalny do wrażliwości badanych gatunków i odmian.

*Влияние SO<sub>2</sub> на изменение содержания пролина и гидроксипролина в листьях восьми видов и разновидностей с рода Weigela*

Резюме

Исследовалось влияние содержания пролина и гидроксипролина в листьях восьми видов и разновидностей с рода *Weigela* на их чувствительность к действию SO<sub>2</sub>. Определялись также изменения в содержании исследуемых аминокислот под влиянием этого газа. Найдено, что чувствительность растений к SO<sub>2</sub> была тем выше, чем больше было содержание в листьях связанного с белком пролина и соотношение связанных пролина и гидроксипролина. Сернистый ангидрид вызывал аккумуляцию свободного пролина в листьях, причем его накопление было большим у более чувствительных разновидностей. После воздействия SO<sub>2</sub> отмечено уменьшение содержания обеих связанных с белком аминокислот. Оно было пропорционально к степени чувствительности исследуемых видов и разновидностей.

...the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the rate of photosynthesis in the leaves of the plants. The results of the experiments are given in Table 1. It can be seen from the table that the rate of photosynthesis in the leaves of the plants is significantly higher in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> than in its absence. This is especially true for the plants which have been treated with CO<sub>2</sub> for a long time. The increase in the rate of photosynthesis is due to the fact that the plants are able to utilize the CO<sub>2</sub> more efficiently when it is present in the atmosphere. This is in accordance with the theory of the C<sub>3</sub> cycle proposed by Hatch and Slack (1967). According to this theory, the rate of photosynthesis is limited by the rate at which CO<sub>2</sub> can be fixed by the enzyme RuBisCo. In the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, the rate of fixation is increased, and therefore the rate of photosynthesis is also increased. This is what is observed in the experiments described in this paper. The plants which have been treated with CO<sub>2</sub> for a long time are able to utilize the CO<sub>2</sub> more efficiently than the plants which have not been treated with CO<sub>2</sub>. This is due to the fact that the plants which have been treated with CO<sub>2</sub> have a higher concentration of RuBisCo in their leaves than the plants which have not been treated with CO<sub>2</sub>. This is in accordance with the theory of the C<sub>3</sub> cycle proposed by Hatch and Slack (1967). According to this theory, the rate of photosynthesis is limited by the rate at which CO<sub>2</sub> can be fixed by the enzyme RuBisCo. In the presence of CO<sub>2</sub>, the rate of fixation is increased, and therefore the rate of photosynthesis is also increased. This is what is observed in the experiments described in this paper. The plants which have been treated with CO<sub>2</sub> for a long time are able to utilize the CO<sub>2</sub> more efficiently than the plants which have not been treated with CO<sub>2</sub>. This is due to the fact that the plants which have been treated with CO<sub>2</sub> have a higher concentration of RuBisCo in their leaves than the plants which have not been treated with CO<sub>2</sub>.