

On the existence and uniqueness of magnetohydrodynamical shock wave structures, disregarding thermal conductivity

J. SKIEPKO (WARSZAWA)

IN THE PRESENT paper, applying the method described in [4], the existence of fast as well as slow shock waves structures is proved, disregarding thermal conductivity. It is also shown that by contrast with the fast shock waves, slow shock waves do not always possess a unique structure.

W pracy przeprowadzono w oparciu o metodę przedstawioną w [4] dowód istnienia struktury szybkich i struktury wolnych fal uderzeniowych; w dowodzie pominięto zjawiska przewodnictwa cieplnego. Wykazano ponadto, że — przeciwnie niż w przypadku szybkich fal uderzeniowych — fale wolne nie zawsze mają jednoznacznie określoną strukturę.

В работе доказано существование структуры быстрых и структуры медленных ударных волн в случае пренебрежимости теплопроводностью. Доказательство основано на применении метода, описанного в работе [4]. Показано, что, в противовес быстрым ударным волнам, медленные ударные волны не всегда обладают единственной структурой.

1. Introduction

IN PAPER [4] the existence of a slow and a fast shock wave structure for a perfect gas with shear viscosity disregarded was proved. The proof was based on the topological properties of the generalized thermodynamical potential (see [1, 3, 4]).

In the present paper, using the same method, the existence of slow and fast shock wave structures is proved but under the assumption that the coefficient of thermal conductivity is equal to zero, and the other three coefficients of dissipation are positive functions of class C^1 of the physical parameters. Demonstrated are the uniqueness of the fast shock wave structure and the nonuniqueness of the slow shock wave structure. The latter result is also true under the assumptions of [4] where the coefficient of shear viscosity is put equal to zero instead that of thermal conductivity.

For a perfect gas, with thermal conductivity disregarded, the set of equations of the shock layer can be written in the form:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 \frac{dB}{dx} &= \frac{B\tau}{\mu} - c_1 v + c_2, \\ \varepsilon_2 \frac{dv}{dx} &= (v - c_1 B), \\ \varepsilon_3 \frac{d\tau}{dx} &= \frac{\gamma-1}{\tau} \left(\frac{M^2 \tau^2}{2} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{B^2 \tau}{2\mu} + c_2 B - c_1 Bv - c_3 \tau + c_4 \right) + M^2 \tau + \frac{B^2}{2\mu} - c_3; \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad T = \frac{1}{c_v} \left(\frac{M^2 \tau^2}{2} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{B^2 \tau}{2\mu} + c_2 B - c_1 Bv - c_3 \tau + c_4 \right),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\mu^2 M}, \quad \varepsilon_2 = \frac{\eta}{M}, \quad \varepsilon_3 = \left(\xi + \frac{4}{3}\eta\right)M,$$

T — temperature, τ — specific density, $\mu = \text{const}$ — magnetic permeability, $M = \mu/\tau = \text{const}$, $[u, v, 0]$ — velocity vector, $E = [0, 0, c_2\mu M]$ — electric field vector ($c_2 = \text{const}$), $B = [c_1\mu M, B, 0]$ — magnetic induction vector ($c_1 = \text{const}$), c_3, c_4 — positive constants, $\gamma = c_p/c_v$ — specific heat for constant pressure and, volume, respectively, ξ, η — coefficients of bulk and shear viscosity, respectively.

We shall define functions F and W similar to the generalized dissipation and generalized thermodynamic potential introduced by Germain [1]. These functions can be written in the form:

$$(1.3) \quad F = \frac{\tau^{\gamma-1}}{2} \left[\varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{dB}{dx} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{dv}{dx} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_3 \left(\frac{d\tau}{dx} \right)^2 \right],$$

$$(1.4) \quad W = \left(\frac{M^2\tau^2}{2} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{B^2\tau}{2\mu} + c_2B - c_1Bv - c_3\tau + c_4 \right) \tau^{\gamma-1}.$$

By means of them we can rewrite the system (1.1)

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \dot{q}_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where q_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) denote B, v, τ , respectively, and \dot{q}_i denote their derivatives with respect to x .

It is easy to show that W is an increasing function along the integral curves of the system (1.1). On that basis we shall prove the existence of the slow and fast shock waves structure.

The system (1.1) has at most four singular points (see [1]) $P(B_i, v_i, \tau_i) = P_i$, ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). These points are numbered according to decreasing specific volume. This enumeration corresponds to the increase of entropy

$$S(P_1) \leq S(P_2) \leq S(P_3) \leq S(P_4).$$

The velocities at the points P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 can be ordered as follows:

$$(1.6) \quad u_1 \geq c_f \geq u_2 \geq b_x \geq u_3 \geq c_s \geq u_4,$$

where $b_x = (M^2\mu c_1^2\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the normal component of Alfvén speed, c_f and c_s are speeds of fast and slow magnetoacoustic waves respectively, being the roots of the biquadratic equation:

$$u^4 - u^2(a^2 + b_x^2 + b_y^2) + a^2b_x^2 = 0,$$

$c_s < c_f$, $b_y = \left(\frac{B^2\tau}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ — tangent component of Alfvén speed, $a^2 = -\frac{1}{\tau^2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{s=s_0}$, a — speed of sound.

The pair of points P_1, P_2 and the Eq. (1.2) determine the states of the fast shock wave, the pair of points P_3, P_4 — the states of the slow shock wave, the other pairs of points P_i, P_j , $i < j$, determine the states of intermediate shock waves. The integral curve of the

system (1.1), joining the points $P_i, P_j, i < j$, describes the structure of that shock wave. Taking into account the physical character of the variables B, v, τ, T , our considerations will be limited to the domain Z of the semispace $\{(B, v, \tau), \tau > 0\}$, where T , defined by (1.2), is greater than zero. In the domain $Z, W(B, v, \tau) > 0$. The first step in our considerations is a qualitative analysis of integral curves in the space immediately adjacent to the singular point. To this end we shall determine the signs of the eigenvalues at points $P_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ of the linearized equations (1.1).

2. Investigation of the integral curves of the system (1.1) in the neighbourhood of the singular points

The linearized system (1.1) in the neighbourhood of P_i has the form:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1i} \frac{d\bar{B}}{dx} &= \frac{\tau_i}{\mu} \bar{B} - c_1 \bar{v} + \frac{B_i}{\mu} \bar{\tau}, \\ \varepsilon_{2i} \frac{d\bar{v}}{dx} &= -c_1 \bar{B} + \bar{v}, \\ \varepsilon_{3i} \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{dx} &= \frac{B_i}{\mu} \bar{B} + \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma B_i^2}{2\mu \tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} \right] \bar{\tau}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{ki} &= \varepsilon_k(B_i, v_i, \tau_i) = \varepsilon_k(P_i), \quad k = 1, 2, 3; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ B &= B_i + \bar{B}, \quad v = v_i + \bar{v}, \quad \tau = \tau_i + \bar{\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Following the considerations of [1] or [4], we can state that the number of positive (negative) eigenvalues is equal to the number of positive (negative) coefficients in the diagonal form of the coefficients matrix A of the system (2.1). The quadratic form corresponding to the matrix A , for the case $\tau_i \neq \mu c_1^2 = \tau_*$, may be written:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g(XX) &= \frac{\tau_i}{\mu} x_1^2 - 2c_1 x_1 x_2 + \frac{2B_i}{\mu} x_1 x_3 + x_2^2 \\ &+ \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma B_i^2}{2\mu \tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} \right] x_3^2 \equiv (x_2 - c_1 x_1)^2 + \frac{\tau_i - \tau_*}{\mu} \left(x_1 + \frac{B_i}{\tau_i - \tau_*} x_3 \right)^2 \\ &+ \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2\mu} \frac{B_i^2}{\tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} - \frac{B_i^2}{\mu(\tau_i - \tau_*)} \right] x_3^2. \end{aligned}$$

From the last-given identity it follows that the linear transformation

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1 &= -c_1 x_1 + x_2, \\ y_2 &= x_1 + \frac{B_i}{\tau_i - \tau_*} x_3, \\ y_3 &= x_3, \end{aligned}$$

transforms the form $g(XX)$ to the diagonal form:

$$(2.4) \quad g(XX) = y_1^2 + \frac{\tau_i - \tau_*}{\mu} y_2^2 + \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2\mu} \frac{B_i^2}{\tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} - \frac{B_i^2}{\mu(\tau_i - \tau_*)} \right] y_3^2.$$

The matrix B of the transformation (2.3) transforms the matrix A to the diagonal form D , i.e.

$$B A B^T = D.$$

The coefficients of the matrix D are equal to the coefficients of the form $g(Y Y)$. The coefficient at y_1^2 is positive, the coefficient at y_2^2 , in view of the inequality (1.6), is positive at the points P_1, P_2 and negative at the points P_3, P_4 . The coefficient of y_3^2 may be written:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_i^2(u_i^2 - b_{xi}^2)} [(u_i^2 - a_i^2)(u_i^2 - b_{xi}^2) - b_{yi}^2 u_i^2].$$

Since $u_i^2 - b_{xi}^2$ is positive at the points P_1, P_2 , negative at P_3, P_4 and $[(u_i^2 - a_i^2)(u_i^2 - b_{xi}^2) - b_{yi}^2 u_i^2]$ is positive at P_1, P_4 , negative at P_2, P_3 , the coefficient of y_3^2 is positive at P_1, P_3 and negative at P_2, P_4 .

From the above considerations follows:

THEOREM 1. *At point P_1 all eigenvalues are positive, at points P_2, P_3 two eigenvalues are positive and one negative, at P_4 — one positive and two negative.*

If $c_2 = 0$, then $\tau_i = \tau_$ at point P_i ($i = 2, 3$). In this case, applying the nonsingular transformation*

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= x_2 - c_1 x_1, \\ y_2 &= x_3 + \frac{B_i x_1}{\mu \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma B_i^2}{2\mu \tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} \right]}, \\ y_3 &= x_1, \end{aligned}$$

we can transform the form (2.2) to the diagonal form:

$$g(Y Y) = y_1^2 + \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma B^2}{2\mu \tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} \right] y_2^2 - \frac{B_i^2}{\mu^2 \left[(\gamma + 1) M^2 + \frac{\gamma B^2}{2\mu \tau_i} - \gamma \frac{c_3}{\tau_i} \right]} y_3^2.$$

This proves that for $c_2 = 0$, Theorem 1 is also true.

3. Qualitative analysis of the surface $W = \text{const}$

We shall analyse the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$, where $W(B, v, \tau)$ is the function defined by (1.4) and A is a positive constant, $(B, v, \tau) \in Z$. The gradient of $W(B, v, \tau)$ is equal to zero only at the singular points of the system (1.1) [this results from the equivalence of the systems (1.1) and (1.5)] hence, the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = \text{const}$ has the only singularities at the points P_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). On repeating the same considerations as in [4], the following corollaries may be proved:

COROLLARY 1. *In the neighbourhood of the point P_1 the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A_1$ is reduced to the point P_1 , in the neighbourhood of the point P_i ($i = 2, 3, 4$) the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ is topologically equivalent to a cone.*

COROLLARY 2. The surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A_1 + \delta$ is in the neighbourhood of P_1 topologically equivalent to a sphere; the surfaces $W(B, v, \tau) = A_i + \delta$, in the neighbourhood of P_i ($i = 2, 3$) — topologically equivalent to a hyperboloid of one sheet, and in the neighbourhood of P_4 the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A_4 + \delta$ is topologically equivalent to a hyperboloid of two sheets.

COROLLARY 3. The surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A_i - \delta$, is in the neighbourhood of P_i an empty set for $i = 1$, a set topologically equivalent to a hyperboloid of two sheets for $i = 2, 3$, and a set topologically equivalent to a hyperboloid of one sheet for $i = 4$, where $A_i = W(P_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and δ is a sufficiently small and positive constant.

As a result of the orthogonal projection of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ into the plane (B, τ) , we get a set G_A in the semiplane $\tau > 0$, the boundary of which consists of the B axis and the curve Q_A . The equations:

$$(3.1) \quad W(B, v, \tau) = A, \quad \frac{\partial W(B, v, \tau)}{\partial v} = 0,$$

describe the curve Q_A .

Making use of the formulae describing the function $W(B, v, \tau)$ [see (1.4)] and then eliminating v from the system (3.1), we obtain the equation for Q_A :

$$(3.2) \quad \tau^{\gamma-1} \left(\frac{M^2 \tau^2}{2} - \frac{c_1^2 B^2}{2} + \frac{B^2 \tau}{2\mu} + c_2 B - c_3 \tau + c_4 \right) = A.$$

The left-hand side of (3.2) is the function $\bar{K}(B, \tau)$ known from [4]. The Eq. (3.2) describes the family of the curves Q_A discussed in [4].

Each of the points belonging to the interior of the domain G_A is an orthogonal projection of two different points on the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ into the plane (B, τ) . Each of the points belonging to the curve Q_A is an orthogonal projection of one point on the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$.

4. Proof of the existence of fast and slow shock wave structures

Let us analyse changes of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ for $A > A_1$. On the basis of the interpretation of the domains G_A and on the properties of the curves Q_A , proved in [4], we state that the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A_1$ consists of the surface topologically equivalent to a plane and of the isolated point P_1 . For $A > A_1$ and A close to A_1 , the closed part of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ will be formed, with the point P_1 being in the interior of the surface. With A increasing, the closed part of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ will enclose a greater and greater domain, approaching the other part of the surface. Both parts of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ will be in touch, at the point P_2 for $A = A_2$.

From the considerations in 2, we have the result that all the integral curves of the system (1.1) passing through the neighbourhood of P_1 leave the point P_1 . Along each integral curve, $W(B, v, \tau)$ is increasing. Thus through each point of the closed part of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ ($A_1 < A < A_2$) there passes an integral curve leaving the point P_1 . Because both parts of the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$ have a common point P_2 (for $A = A_2$), then there must exist an integral curve joining P_1 and P_2 . The second integral curve of the

system (1.1) reaches the point P_2 in the opposite sense and it cannot leave P_2 (there are only two integral curves reaching P_2). Thus is proved the existence and uniqueness of the fast shock wave structure.

To prove the existence of the integral curve of the system (1.1) joining P_3 and P_4 , let us notice that according to Hadamard-Perron's lemma [2], the integral curves leaving P_3 form in the neighbourhood of P_3 the manifold diffeomorphic to a plane. The manifold will intersect the surface $W(B, v, \tau) = A$, ($A > A_3$ and A close to A_3), along the closed curve L_A , that cannot (without leaving the surface $W = A$) be continuously transformed into a point. The curve L_A must, as was shown in paper [4] for $A = A_4$, pass through P_4 . Thus is proved the existence of the slow shock wave.

5. On the non-uniqueness of the slow shock wave structure

Contrary to the fast shock waves, the system (1.1) determines not always uniquely the slow shock wave structures. This fact can be stated as follows.

THEOREM 2. *There are sets of positive, class C^1 , coefficients $\varepsilon_i(B, v, \tau)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), for which there exist at least two integral curves of the system (1.1) joining the singular point P_3 with the singular point P_4 .*

Let us define a set of positive functions $\varepsilon_i(B, v, \tau)$ in the semiplane $\{(B, v, \tau): \tau > 0\}$, $\varepsilon_i \in C^1$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). To facilitate the considerations, we take $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i^0 = \text{const} > 0$. To these ε_i corresponds the integral curve C of the system (1.1) joining P_3 with P_4 . Then, let us restrict the domain of these functions so as to form two closed domains D_3, D_4 , $D_3 \cap D_4 = 0$, sufficiently bounded by the regular surfaces Σ_3, Σ_4 .

Let the singular point P_i belong to the interior of the domain D_i ($i = 3, 4$). Thus the system (1.1) is uniquely defined in D_i and the solutions of (1.1) are defined in D_i ($i = 3, 4$). According to the results of 2, the integral curves of the system (1.1) leaving the point P_3 , as well as the integral curves reaching P_4 , form two-dimensional manifolds. The points of these manifolds belonging to D_i ($i = 3, 4$) are well defined because ε_i are known. Let us join point P_3 with P_4 by means of two regular arcs $C_1, C_2 \in Z$ (having a parametrical representation of class C^2) non intersecting with each other in such a way that in the domain D_3 the arcs form two integral curves leaving P_3 and that in the domain D_4 the arcs form two integral curves reaching P_4 . Thus to each point belonging to one of the arcs C_1, C_2 can be attached a well defined direction (the direction of the arc at this point). Let us define on the arcs C_1 and C_2 coefficients $\varepsilon_i(B, v, \tau)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) of the system (1.1) in such a way that every point of each arc the direction of the arc is the same as the field of directions defined by (1.1). Moreover, it can be guaranteed that such defined $\varepsilon_i(B, v, \tau)$ are positive functions of the arc parameter of class C^1 . Indeed, as C_1 may be taken the curve C defined at the beginning of 5. In the neighbourhood of C the arc C_2 can be constructed corresponding to positive ε_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$). This is the result of the continuity of the right-hand sides of (1.1). The regularity of the right-hand sides of (1.1) and the assumptions adopted on the regularity of the arcs C_1 and C_2 guarantee the continuity of the derivatives ε_i along the arc. Thus we have ε_i defined on the set $D_3 \cup D_4 \cup C_1 \cup C_2$. It remains to continue them as a function of class C^1 in the semispace $\tau > 0$. It is evident that the adopted assumptions enable the continuation to the function of class C^1 in the

neighbourhood of $D_3 \cup D_4 \cup C_1 \cup C_2$, and by Whitney's theorem [5] follows the possibility of continuation to the space (B, v, τ) .

We have proved that there exist sets of positive ε_i , $\varepsilon_i \in C^1$, to which two integral curves of the system (1.1) correspond.

The result obtained is also true under the assumptions adopted in [4].

6. Conclusion

The results obtained concern the limiting case corresponding to the thermal conductivity equal to zero. The thermal conductivity always causes some effects, but in some problems of wave structure they may be negligibly small compared with those due to other dissipation mechanisms. In such cases, to describe the wave structure it is convenient to adopt thermal conductivity as equal to zero. The results obtained guarantee the existence of the description of the fast and slow wave structures in the class of differentiable functions.

The results of Sec. 5 show that for certain sets of dissipation coefficients the system of magnetohydrodynamic equations does not determine uniquely the structure of slow shock waves. Perhaps some additional conditions imposed on the dissipation coefficients, following from physical arguments might eliminate this nonuniqueness.

References

1. P. GERMAIN, *Contribution à la théorie des ondes des le choc en magnetodynamique des fluides*, O.N.E.R.A., Publ. no 97, 1959.
2. PH. HARTMAN, *Ordinary differential equations*, New York-London-Sydney 1964.
3. А. Г. Куликовский, *О структуре ударных волн в магнитной гидродинамике при произвольном законе диссипации*, П.М.М., **24**, 2.
4. J. SKIERKO, *On the existence of magnetogasdynamic shock waves structure with negligible shear viscosity*, Arch. Mech., [in print].
5. H. WHITNEY, *Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Transaction American Mathematical Society, V. 36, 1934.

UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW.

Received July 6, 1973.