

933.

TABLES OF PURE RECIPROCATS TO THE WEIGHT 8.

[From the *American Journal of Mathematics*, t. xv. (1893), pp. 75—77.]

IN the tabulation of Pure Reciprocants it is convenient to write $a=1$; we thus have for all the reciprocants of a given weight a single column of literal terms which (as in the Seminvariant Tables) I arrange in alphabetical order AO , and the several reciprocants have then each of them its own column of numerical coefficients: the form of the table is thus similar to that of the seminvariant table, the only difference being that for reciprocants the final terms are not in general power-enders: as in the seminvariant table, the columns of the table are arranged *inter se* with their final terms in AO . As remarked in my paper, "Corrected Seminvariant Tables for the Weights 11 and 12," *Amer. Math. Journ.*, t. XIV. (1892), pp. 195—200, [926], it is not in every case the top term of a column which should be regarded as the initial term; but to the extent 8, to which the reciprocant tables are here carried, this remark has no application.

I recall that the notation is the modified one employed by Halphen, and by Sylvester* in his 12th and subsequent lectures, viz. a, b, c, d, \dots denote

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2y}{dx^2}, \frac{1}{6} \frac{d^3y}{dx^3}, \frac{1}{24} \frac{d^4y}{dx^4}, \frac{1}{120} \frac{d^5y}{dx^5}, \dots$$

respectively. As already noticed, a is put $=1$, but it is to be in the several terms restored in the proper powers so as to obtain for the reciprocant a homogeneous expression of a degree equal to the original degree of the final term; thus $d-3bc+2b^3$ is to be read as standing for $a^2d-3abc+2b^3$.

The ultimate verification of the expression for a pure reciprocant consists (as is known) in its annihilation by the operator

$$V = 2a^2\partial_b + 5ab\partial_c + (6ac + 3b^2)\partial_d + (7ad + 7bc)\partial_e + (8ae + 8bd + 4c^2)\partial_f + \&c.,$$

or, say

$$V = 2\partial_b + 5b\partial_c + (6c + 3b^2)\partial_d + (7d + 7bc)\partial_e + (8e + 8bd + 4c^2)\partial_f + \&c.;$$

[* *American Journal of Mathematics*, t. IX. (1887), p. 7.]

thus for the reciprocant $50e - 175bd + 28c^2 + 105b^2c$, the result obtained is

$$2(-175d + 210bc) + 5b(56c + 105b^2) + (6c + 3b^2)(-175b) + (7d + 7bc)(50),$$

or, collecting, this is

$$\begin{array}{l|l} d & -350 & +350 & \pm 350 \\ bc & +420 + 280 - 1050 + 350 & & \pm 1050 \\ b^3 & +525 - 525 & & \pm 525, \end{array}$$

= 0, as it should be.

The tables are

<i>c</i>	+4
<i>b</i> ²	-5
	+4
	-5

<i>d</i>	+1
<i>bc</i>	-3
<i>b</i> ³	+2
	± 3

<i>e</i>	+ 50	
<i>bd</i>	-175	
<i>c</i> ²	+ 28	+ 16
<i>b</i> ² <i>c</i>	+105	- 40
<i>b</i> ⁴		+ 25
	+ 183	+ 41
	- 175	- 40

<i>f</i>	+ 10	
<i>be</i>	- 40	
<i>cd</i>	- 12	+ 4
<i>b</i> ² <i>d</i>	+ 65	- 5
<i>bc</i> ²	+ 16	- 12
<i>b</i> ³ <i>c</i>	- 39	+ 23
<i>b</i> ⁵		- 10
	± 91	± 27

<i>g</i>	+ 14			
<i>bf</i>	- 63			
<i>ce</i>	- 1350	+ 800		
<i>d</i> ²	+ 1470	- 875	+ 125	
<i>b</i> ² <i>e</i>	+ 1782	- 1000		
<i>bcd</i>	- 4158	+ 2450	- 750	
<i>c</i> ³	+ 2130	- 1344	+ 256	+ 64
<i>b</i> ³ <i>d</i>			+ 500	
<i>b</i> ² <i>c</i> ²		+ 35	+ 165	- 240
<i>b</i> ⁴ <i>c</i>			- 300	+ 300
<i>b</i> ⁶				- 125
	+ 5576	+ 3250	± 1018	+ 364
	- 5508	- 3254		- 365

<i>h</i>	+ 7			
<i>bg</i>	- 35			
<i>cf</i>	- 539	+ 560		
<i>de</i>	+ 605	- 650	+ 50	
<i>b</i> ² <i>f</i>	+ 735	- 700		
<i>bce</i>	+ 306	- 290	- 150	
<i>bd</i> ²	- 2135	+ 2275	- 175	
<i>c</i> ² <i>d</i>	+ 1001	- 1036	+ 28	+ 16
<i>b</i> ³ <i>e</i>	- 1485	+ 1500	+ 100	
<i>b</i> ² <i>cd</i>	+ 3465	- 3710	+ 630	- 40
<i>bc</i> ³	- 1295	+ 1988	- 84	- 48
<i>b</i> ⁴ <i>d</i>			- 350	+ 25
<i>b</i> ³ <i>c</i> ²		+ 63	- 259	+ 152
<i>b</i> ⁵ <i>c</i>			+ 210	- 155
<i>b</i> ⁷				+ 50
	+ 6119	± 6386	± 1018	± 243
	- 5489			

i	+ 420						
bh	- 2310						
cg	- 32704	+ 1176					
df	+ 57750	- 8085	+ 20433				
e^2	- 20460	+ 7040	- 21542	+ 625			
b^2g	+ 45500	- 1470					
bcf	- 28392	+ 18963	- 61299				
bde	- 90900	- 16940	+ 69062	- 4375			
c^2e	+ 103740	- 27160	+ 80248	+ 49700	+ 3200		
cd^2	- 38320	+ 26460	- 85554	+ 55125	- 3500	+ 500	
b^3f	- 69615	- 9555	+ 40866				
b^2ce	+ 83538	+ 28098	- 106218	+ 128625	- 8000		
b^2d^2	+ 92820	+ 12740	- 54782	- 61250	+ 4375	- 625	
bc^2d	- 102102	- 52822	+ 191590	- 156800	+ 9800	- 3000	
c^4		+ 21560	- 73304	+ 84868	- 5376	+ 1024	+ 256
b^4e			- 378	- 78750	+ 5000		
b^3cd			+ 1176	+ 183750	- 12250	+ 5750	
b^2c^3				- 102165	+ 6580	- 620	- 1280
b^5d						- 2500	
b^4c^2					+ 175	- 2025	+ 2400
b^6c						+ 1500	- 2000
b^8							+ 625
	+ 383768	+ 116037	+ 403375	+ 452993	+ 29130	+ 8774	+ 3281
	- 384803	- 116032	- 403077	- 453040	- 29126	- 8750	- 3280

I remark that in the last of these tables the first column, say $i \infty bc^2d$, which ends in bc^2d , is a more simple form than Sylvester's P_8 , $= i \infty c^4$, (*Amer. Math. Journ.*, t. IX. p. 35), which ends in c^4 ; P_8 is in fact a linear combination, first col. + 6 second col. of the first and second columns of the table: the second column, say $cg \infty c^4$ is Sylvester's (a^2cg), t. IX. p. 124.