

## 671.

## ON A SIBI-RECIPROCAL SURFACE.

[From the *Berlin. Akad. Monatsber.*, (1878), pp. 309—313.]

THE question of the generation of a sibi-reciprocal surface—that is, a surface the reciprocal of which is of the same order and has the same singularities as the original surface—was considered by me in the year 1868, see *Proc. London Math. Soc.* t. II. pp. 61—63, [part of 387], where it is remarked that if a surface be considered as the envelope of a quadric surface varying according to given conditions, then the reciprocal surface is given as the envelope of a quadric surface varying according to the reciprocal conditions; whence, if the conditions be sibi-reciprocal, it follows that the surface is a sibi-reciprocal surface. And I gave as instances the surface which is the envelope of a quadric surface touching each of 8 given lines; and also the surface called the “tetrahedroid,” which is a homographic transformation of Fresnel’s Wave Surface and a particular case of the quartic surface with 16 nodes.

The interesting surface of the order 8, recently considered by Herr Kummer, *Berl. Monatsber.*, Jan. 1878, pp. 25—36, is included under the theory. In fact, if we consider a line  $L$ , whereof the six coordinates

$$a, b, c, f, g, h,$$

satisfy each of the three linear relations

$$f_1a + g_1b + h_1c + a_1f + b_1g + c_1h = 0,$$

$$f_2a + g_2b + h_2c + a_2f + b_2g + c_2h = 0,$$

$$f_3a + g_3b + h_3c + a_3f + b_3g + c_3h = 0,$$

the locus of this line is a quadric surface the equation of which is

$$\begin{aligned} T = & (agh)x^2 + (bhf)y^2 + (cfg)z^2 + (abc)w^2 \\ & + [(abg) - (cah)]xw + [(bfg) + (chf)]yz \\ & + [(bch) - (abf)]yw + [(cgh) + (afg)]zx \\ & + [(caf) - (bcg)]zw + [(ahf) + (bgh)]xy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(agh)$  is used to denote the determinant  $\begin{vmatrix} a_1 & g_1 & h_1 \\ a_2 & g_2 & h_2 \\ a_3 & g_3 & h_3 \end{vmatrix}$ , and so for the other symbols. Considering the reciprocal of the line  $L$  in regard to the quadric surface  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + W^2 = 0$ , the six coordinates of the reciprocal line are

$$f, g, h, a, b, c,$$

and it is hence at once seen that the locus of the reciprocal line is the quadric surface obtained from the equation  $T = 0$  by interchanging therein the symbolical quantities  $a, b, c$  and  $f, g, h$ : viz. writing also  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta, \omega)$  in place of  $(x, y, z, w)$ , the new equation is

$$\begin{aligned} T' = & (fbc) \xi^2 + (gca) \eta^2 + (hab) \zeta^2 + (fgh) \omega^2 \\ & + [(fgb) - (hfc)] \xi\omega + [(fab) + (hca)] \eta\xi \\ & + [(ghc) - (fga)] \eta\omega + [(gbc) + (fab)] \zeta\xi \\ & + [(hfa) - (ghb)] \zeta\omega + [(hca) + (gbc)] \xi\eta = 0; \end{aligned}$$

or, what is the same thing, this equation  $T' = 0$  is the equation of the original quadric surface (the locus of  $L$ ) expressed in terms of the plane-coordinates  $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \omega$ .

Now considering each of the quantities  $a_1, b_1, c_1, f_1, g_1, h_1, a_2, b_2, \text{etc.}, a_3, b_3, \text{etc.}$ , as a given linear function of a variable parameter  $\lambda$ , say  $a_1 = a_1' + a_1''\lambda, b_1 = b_1' + b_1''\lambda, \text{etc.}$ , the equation  $T = 0$  takes the form

$$A\lambda^3 + 3B\lambda^2 + 3C\lambda + D = 0,$$

where  $A, B, C, D$  are given quadric functions of the coordinates  $x, y, z, w$ ; and the envelope of the quadric surface  $T = 0$  is Herr Kummer's surface of the eighth order

$$(AD - BC)^2 - 4(AC - B^2)(BD - C^2) = 0.$$

In like manner the equation  $T' = 0$  takes the form

$$A'\lambda^3 + 3B'\lambda^2 + 3C'\lambda + D' = 0,$$

where  $A', B', C', D'$  are given functions of the coordinates  $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \omega$ ; and we have

$$(A'D' - B'C')^2 - 4(A'C' - B'^2)(B'D' - C'^2) = 0,$$

as the equation of the reciprocal surface; or (what is the same thing) as that of the original surface, regarding  $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \omega$  as plane-coordinates.

In regard to the foregoing equation  $T = 0$ , it is to be noticed that, if  $a_1, b_1, c_1, f_1, g_1, h_1; a_2, b_2, \text{etc.}, a_3, b_3, \text{etc.}$ , instead of being arbitrary coefficients, were the coordinates of three given lines  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  respectively; that is, if we had

$$a_1f_1 + b_1g_1 + c_1h_1 = 0,$$

$$a_2f_2 + b_2g_2 + c_2h_2 = 0,$$

$$a_3f_3 + b_3g_3 + c_3h_3 = 0,$$

then the three linear relations satisfied by  $(a, b, c, f, g, h)$  would express that the line  $L$  was a line meeting each of the three given lines  $L_1, L_2, L_3$ : the locus is therefore the quadric surface which passes through these three lines; and I have in my paper "On the six coordinates of a Line," *Camb. Phil. Trans.*, t. XI. (1869), pp. 290—323, [435], found the equation to be the foregoing equation  $T=0$ . But it is easy to see that the same equation subsists in the case where the three equations  $a_1f_1 + b_1g_1 + c_1h_1 = 0$ , etc., are not satisfied. For the several coefficients being perfectly general, any one of the three linear relations may be replaced by a linear combination of these equations; that is, in place of  $a_1, b_1, c_1, f_1, g_1, h_1$ , we may write  $a'_1, b'_1, c'_1, f'_1, g'_1, h'_1$ , where  $a'_1 = \theta_1a_1 + \theta_2a_2 + \theta_3a_3$ ,  $b'_1 = \theta_1b_1 + \theta_2b_2 + \theta_3b_3$ , etc.; and these factors  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$  may be conceived to be such that the condition in question  $a'_1f'_1 + b'_1g'_1 + c'_1h'_1 = 0$  is satisfied. Similarly the second set of coefficients may be replaced by  $a'_2, b'_2, c'_2, f'_2, g'_2, h'_2$ , where  $a'_2 = \phi_1a_1 + \phi_2a_2 + \phi_3a_3$ , etc., and the condition  $a'_2f'_2 + b'_2g'_2 + c'_2h'_2 = 0$  is satisfied: and the third set by  $a'_3, b'_3, c'_3, f'_3, g'_3, h'_3$ , where  $a'_3 = \psi_1a_1 + \psi_2a_2 + \psi_3a_3$ , etc., and the condition  $a'_3f'_3 + b'_3g'_3 + c'_3h'_3 = 0$  is satisfied. We have therefore an equation  $0 = (a'g'h')x^2 + \text{etc.}$ , which only differs from the equation  $T=0$  by having therein the accented letters in place of the unaccented ones: and, substituting for the accented letters their values, the whole divides by the determinant  $(\theta\phi\psi)$ , and throwing this out we obtain the required equation  $T=0$ .

But it is easier to obtain the equation  $T=0$  directly. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \quad \quad \quad hy - gz + aw = 0, \\ -hx & \quad \quad + fz + bw = 0, \\ & \quad \quad \quad gx - fy \quad \quad + cw = 0, \\ -ax - by - cz & \quad \quad \quad = 0; \end{aligned}$$

viz. in virtue of the equation  $af + bg + ch = 0$  which connects the six coordinates, these four equations are equivalent to two independent equations which are the equations of the line  $(a, b, c, f, g, h)$ : or, what is the same thing, any three of these equations imply the fourth equation and also the relation  $af + bg + ch = 0$ .

We might, from the three linear relations and any three of the last-mentioned four equations, eliminate  $a, b, c, f, g, h$  and so obtain the required equation  $T=0$ ; but it is better, introducing the arbitrary coefficients  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , to employ all the four equations. The result of the elimination is thus given in the form

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha, & w, & & -z, & y \\ \beta, & & w, & z, & -x \\ \gamma, & & & w, & -y, & x \\ \delta, & x, & y, & z, & & \\ & f_1, & g_1, & h_1, & a_1, & b_1, & c_1 \\ & f_2, & g_2, & h_2, & a_2, & b_2, & c_2 \\ & f_3, & g_3, & h_3, & a_3, & b_3, & c_3 \end{array} \right| = 0,$$

viz. the left-hand side here contains the factor  $-(ax + \beta y + \gamma z + \delta w)$ ; throwing this out, we obtain the required quadric equation  $T=0$ . If for the calculation of  $T$  we compare the terms containing  $\delta$ , we have

$$Tw = \begin{vmatrix} w, & & -z, & y \\ w, & w, & z, & -x \\ & & w, & -y, & x, \\ f_1, & g_1, & h_1, & a_1, & b_1, & c_1 \\ f_2, & g_2, & h_2, & a_2, & b_2, & c_2 \\ f_3, & g_3, & h_3, & a_3, & b_3, & c_3 \end{vmatrix},$$

where observe that, writing  $w=0$ , the right-hand side vanishes as containing the factor

$$\begin{vmatrix} -z, & y \\ z, & -x \\ -y, & x \end{vmatrix}.$$

Hence the right-hand side divides by  $w$ ; and one of its terms being evidently  $w^3(abc)$ ,  $T$  contains as it should do the term  $(abc)w^2$ : the remaining terms can be found without any difficulty, and the foregoing expression for  $T$  is thus verified.