

## 632.

## ON ARONHOLD'S INTEGRATION-FORMULA.

[From the *Messenger of Mathematics*, vol. v. (1876), pp. 88—90.]

THE fundamental theorem in Aronhold's Memoir, "Ueber eine neue algebraische Behandlungsweise der Integrale... $\Pi(x, y)dx$ , &c.," *Crelle*, t. LXI. (1863), pp. 95—145, is a theorem of *indefinite* integration. The form is

$$\Lambda \int \frac{dx}{(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma)(hx + by + f)} = \log \frac{(a\xi + h\eta + g)x + (h\xi + b\eta + f)y + g\xi + f\eta + c}{\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma},$$

where  $y$  is a certain irrational function of  $x$ , determined by a quadric equation, and the other symbols denote constants connected by certain relations; viz. writing, for shortness,

$$U = (a, b, c, f, g, h\xi x, y, 1)^2, = (a, \dots \xi x, y, 1)^2 \text{ for shortness,}$$

that is,

$$= ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 + 2fy + 2gx + c;$$

$$W = (a, b, c, f, g, h\xi x, y, 1\xi\xi, \eta, 1), = (a, \dots \xi x, y, 1\xi\xi, \eta, 1),$$

that is,

$$= (ax + hy + g)\xi + (hx + by + f)\eta + gx + fy + c,$$

or

$$(a\xi + h\eta + g)x + (h\xi + b\eta + f)y + g\xi + f\eta + c;$$

$$(P, Q, R) = (ax + hy + g, hx + by + f, gx + fy + c),$$

$$(P_0, Q_0, R_0) = (a\xi + h\eta + g, h\xi + b\eta + f, g\xi + f\eta + c),$$

$$\Omega = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma,$$

$$\Omega_0 = a\xi + \beta\eta + \gamma,$$

$$(A, B, C, F, G, H) = (bc - f^2, ca - g^2, ab - h^2, gh - af, hf - bg, fg - ch),$$

then  $y$  is determined as a function of  $x$  by the equation  $U=0$ , that is,

$$(a, b, c, f, g, h \chi x, y, 1)^2 = 0;$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$by = -\{hx + f + \sqrt{-Cx^2 + 2Gx - A}\};$$

the constants  $\alpha, \beta, \xi, \eta$  are such that

$$(a, b, c, f, g, h \chi \xi, \eta, 1)^2 = 0,$$

$$\alpha\xi + \beta\eta + \gamma = 0,$$

that is,

$$\Omega_0 = 0;$$

and the value of  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$\Lambda^2 = -(A, B, C, F, G, H \chi \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^2.$$

The theorem may therefore be written

$$\Lambda \int \frac{dx}{\Omega Q} = \log \frac{W}{\Omega},$$

where the several symbols have the significations explained above.

The verification is as follows. We ought to have

$$\frac{\Lambda dx}{\Omega Q} = \frac{P_0 dx + Q_0 dy}{W} - \frac{\alpha dx + \beta dy}{\Omega},$$

when  $dx, dy$  satisfy the relation  $P dx + Q dy = 0$ , viz. substituting for  $dy$  the value  $-\frac{P dx}{Q}$ , the equation becomes

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\Omega} = \frac{P_0 Q - P Q_0}{W} - \frac{\alpha Q - \beta P}{\Omega},$$

that is, substituting for  $\Omega$  its value,

$$\Lambda W = (P_0 Q - P Q_0)(\alpha x + \beta y + \gamma) - (\alpha Q - \beta P) W.$$

On the right-hand side, substituting for  $W$  its value,

$$\text{coeff. } \alpha = x(P_0 Q - P Q_0) - Q(P_0 x + Q_0 y + R_0), = Q_0 R - Q R_0,$$

$$\text{coeff. } \beta = y(P_0 Q - P Q_0) + P(P_0 x + Q_0 y + R_0), = R_0 P - R P_0,$$

(as at once appears by aid of the relation  $U = P x + Q y + R = 0$ ),

$$\text{coeff. } \gamma = P_0 Q - P Q_0.$$

The equation to be verified thus is

$$\Lambda W = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ P_0 & Q_0 & R_0 \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix},$$

which, substituting therein for  $P, Q, R, P_0, Q_0, R_0$ , their values, and writing

$$(\lambda, \mu, \nu) = (\eta - y, x - \xi, \xi y - \eta x),$$

is in fact

$$\Lambda W = (A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

We have identically

$$(a, \dots \check{\xi} x, y, 1)^2 \cdot (a, \dots \check{\xi} \xi, \eta, 1)^2 - W^2 = (A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu)^2,$$

which, in virtue of  $(a, \dots \check{\xi} \xi, \eta, 1)^2 = 0$ , gives

$$W^2 = -(A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu)^2;$$

and since  $\Lambda^2 = -(A, \dots \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^2$ , the equation is thus

$$\sqrt{\{-(A, \dots \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^2\}} \cdot \sqrt{\{-(A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu)^2\}} = (A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

that is,

$$(A, \dots \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^2 \cdot (A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu)^2 - [(A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)]^2 = 0.$$

The left-hand side is here identically

$$= K (a, \dots \check{\xi} \gamma \mu - \beta \nu, \alpha \nu - \gamma \lambda, \beta \lambda - \alpha \mu)^2;$$

substituting for  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  their values, we find

$$(\gamma \mu - \beta \nu, \alpha \nu - \gamma \lambda, \beta \lambda - \alpha \mu) = (x \Omega_0 - \xi \Omega, y \Omega_0 - \eta \Omega, z \Omega_0 - \zeta \Omega);$$

viz. in virtue of  $\Omega_0 = 0$ , these are  $= -\xi \Omega, -\eta \Omega, -\zeta \Omega$ , and the quadric function is  $= K \Omega^2 (a, \dots \check{\xi} \xi, \eta, 1)^2$ , vanishing in virtue of the relation  $(a, \dots \check{\xi} \xi, \eta, 1)^2 = 0$ .

The equation in question

$$\sqrt{\{-(A, \dots \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)^2\}} \cdot \sqrt{\{-(A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu)^2\}} = (A, \dots \check{\xi} \lambda, \mu, \nu \check{\xi} \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$$

is thus verified, and the theorem is proved.