## 801.

## ON SEMINVARIANTS.

[From the Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. xix. (1883), pp. 131-138.]

The present paper is a somewhat fragmentary one, but it contains some results which seem to me to be worth putting on record.

I consider here not any binary quantic in particular, but the whole series $\left(a, b, c \gamma(x, y)^{2}\right.$, ( $a, b, c, d \chi x, y)^{3}$, \&c.; or in a somewhat different point of view, I consider the indefinite series of coefficients ( $a, b, c, d, e, \ldots$ ) ; here, instead of covariants and invariants, we have only seminvariants; viz. a seminvariant is a function reduced to zero by the operator

$$
\Delta=a \partial_{b}+2 b \partial_{c}+3 c \partial_{d}+\ldots ;
$$

for instance, seminvariants are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a, \quad a c-b^{2}, \quad a^{2} d-3 a b c+2 b^{3}, \quad a^{2} d^{2}+4 a c^{3}+4 b^{3} d-6 a b c d-3 b^{2} c^{2}, \\
& a e-4 b d+3 c^{2}, \quad a c e-a d^{2}-b^{2} e+2 b c d-c^{3}, \& c .
\end{aligned}
$$

A seminvariant is of a certain degree $\theta$ in the coefficients, and of a certain weight $w$ (viz. the coefficients $a, b, c, d, \ldots$ are reckoned as being of the weights $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ respectively); it is, moreover, of a certain rank $\rho$; viz. according as the highest letter therein is $a, c, d, e, \ldots$ (it is never $b$ ), the rank is taken to be $0,2,3,4, \ldots$, and we have $w=$ or $<\frac{1}{2} \rho \theta$. The seminvariant may be regarded as belonging to a quantic $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{n}$, the order of which, $n$, is equal to or greater than $\rho$; viz. in regard to such quantic the seminvariant, say $A$, is the leading coefficient of a covariant

$$
\left(A, B, \ldots, K \ell(x, y)^{\mu},\right.
$$

where the weights of the successive coefficients are $w, w+1, \ldots$ up to $n \theta-w$; hence number of terms less unity, that is, $\mu$, is $=n \theta-2 w$; the least value of $\mu$ is thus $=\rho \theta-2 w$, which is either zero, or positive ; in the former case, $w=\frac{1}{2} \rho \theta$, the seminvariant is an invariant of the quantic $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{\rho}$, the order of which is equal to the rank of the seminvariant; but if $w<\frac{1}{2} \rho \theta$, then it is the leading coefficient of a covariant $\left(A, B, \ldots, K_{\ell}^{\chi} x, y\right)^{\rho-2 v}$ of the same quantic $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{\rho}$; and in every case, taking $n>\rho$, the seminvariant is the leading coefficient $A$ of a covariant

$$
\left(A, B, \ldots, K_{X}(x, y)^{n \theta-2 v}\right.
$$

of a quantic $(a, \ldots \gamma x, y)^{n}$.

Take $A$ as belonging to the quantic $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{n}$; corresponding to such quantic, we have an operator $\Lambda$ of the same rank $n$, viz.

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\Lambda=2 b \partial_{a}+c \partial_{b} & \text { for } n=2, \\
=3 b \partial_{a}+2 c \partial_{b}+d \partial_{c} & " \\
=4 b \partial_{a}+3 c \partial_{b}+2 d \partial_{c}+e \partial_{d} & " \\
\vdots & \\
& \vdots
\end{array}
$$

Operating with $\Lambda$ on $A$, we have a series of terms

$$
A, \Lambda A, \Lambda^{2} A, \ldots, \Lambda^{n \theta-2 v} A
$$

but the next term $\Lambda^{n \theta-2 w+1} A$, and of course every succeeding term, is $=0$, and this being so, the coefficients of the covariant $(A, B, \ldots, K \ell x, y)^{n \theta-2 w}$ are

$$
\left(1, \frac{1}{1} \Lambda, \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} \Lambda^{2}, \ldots\right) A \text {, }
$$

or what is the same thing, each coefficient is obtained from the next preceding one by the formulæ

$$
B=\frac{1}{1} \Lambda A, \quad C=\frac{1}{2} \Lambda B, \quad D=\frac{1}{3} \Lambda C, \ldots .
$$

The coefficients $A$ and $K, B$ and $J, \ldots$ are derived one from the other by reversal of the order of the coefficients of $(a, b, \ldots 久 x, y)^{n}$, with or without a change of sign, and thus it is only necessary to calculate up to the middle coefficient, or pair of coefficients; and we obtain, moreover, a verification.

Calculating in this manner the covariant

$$
(A, B, \ldots, K)^{\rho \theta-2 w}
$$

which belongs to the quantic $(a, \ldots \gamma x, y)^{\rho}$, if we herein change $a, b, c, \ldots$ into $a x+b y$, $b x+c y, c x+d y, \ldots$ we obtain the covariant belonging to the quantic $(a, \ldots \gamma x, y)^{\rho+1}$; and in this covariant making the like change, or what is the same thing, in the firstmentioned covariant changing $a, b, c, \ldots$ into $(a, b, c \gamma x, y)^{2},(b, c, d \gamma x, y)^{2},(c, d, e \chi x, y)^{2}, \ldots$ we have the covariant belonging to $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{\rho+2}$; and in like manner we obtain the covariant belonging to the quantic ( $a, \ldots \curlywedge x, y)^{n}$ of any given order $n$.

In particular, if $w=\frac{1}{2} \rho \theta$, that is, if the given seminvariant be an invariant of $(a, \ldots \chi x, y)^{\rho}$, then we obtain the series of covariants directly from $A$ by therein changing $a, b, c, \ldots$ into $a x+b y, b x+c y, c x+d y, \ldots$ and in the result making the like change; or what is the same thing, in $A$ changing $a, b, c, \ldots$ into $(a, b, c \gamma x, y)^{2}$, $(b, c, d \chi x, y)^{2},(c, d, e \chi x, y)^{2}, \ldots$ : and so on until we obtain the covariant for the quantic $(a, \ldots \curlywedge x, y)^{n}$ of the given order $n$.

A seminvariant which cannot be expressed as a rational and integral function of lower seminvariants is said to be irreducible. The theory is distinct from that of the irreducible covariants of a quantic of a given order; for instance, as regards the cubic ( $a, b, c, d \chi x, y)^{3}$, we have the irreducible covariant (invariant)

$$
a^{2} d^{2}+4 a c^{3}+4 b^{3} d-6 a b c d-3 b^{2} c^{2}
$$

but this is not an irreducible seminvariant; it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&=\left(a c-b^{2}\right)\left(a e-4 b d+3 c^{2}\right) \\
&-a \cdot\left(a c e-a d^{2}-b^{2} e-c^{3}+2 b c d\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, what is the same thing, there is not for the quartic $(a, b, c, d, e \gamma x, y)^{4}$, or for the higher quantics, any irreducible covariant having this for the leading coefficient.

We may consider the question to determine the number of asyzygetic seminvariants of a given degree and weight. For instance, taking the weights up to 12, so that the series of letters extends as far as $m$, then for the degrees $1,2,3$ we have as follows :


For the degree 1, the line of differences shows that the only seminvariant is ( $W=0$ ), the seminvariant $a$.

For the degree 2 , the line of differences $1,0,1,0, \ldots$, shows that the number of seminvariants is $=1$ for each even degree, $=0$ for each odd degree; thus for the weight 0 there is a seminvariant $=a^{2}$, which of course is not irreducible; while for each of the other even weights we have a single irreducible seminvariant; as is well known, the forms are

| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a c+1$ | $\begin{aligned} & a e+1 \\ & b d-4 \\ & c^{2}+3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a g+1 \\ & b f-6 \\ & c e+15 \\ & d^{2}-10 \end{aligned}$ | $a i+1$ | $a k+1$ | $a m+1$ |
| $b^{2}-1$ |  |  | $b h-8$ | $b j-10$ | $b l-12$ |
|  |  |  | $c g+28$ | $c i+45$ | $c k+66$ |
|  |  |  | $d f-56$ | $d h-120$ | dj -220 |
|  |  |  | $e^{2}+35$ | $e g+210$ | $e i+495$ |
|  |  |  |  | $f^{2}-126$ | $f h-792$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $g^{2}+462$ |

For degree 3, the line of differences shows that for

$$
\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrr}
W & =0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\
\hline \text { Nos. are }=1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3
\end{array}
$$

but inasmuch as for each even weight there is a quadric seminvariant, which multiplied by a gives a cubic seminvariant, to obtain the number of irreducible cubic seminvariants we subtract

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2
\end{array},
$$

or the numbers of irreducible cubic seminvariants are as in the line last written down.
C. XII.

There is a convenience however in giving, for each even weight, as well the rejected reducible covariant; and the entire series of results is found to be


| 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a^{2} j+1$ |  | $a^{2} k+1$ |  | $a^{2} l+1$ |  | $a^{2} m$ | $+1$ |  |  |
| $a b i-9$ |  | $a b j-10$ |  | $a b k-11$ |  | abl | - 12 |  |  |
| ach | + 2 | $a c i+45$ | +1 | $a c j+35$ | + 2 | ack | + 66 | + 3 |  |
| $a d g+42$ | - 7 | adh - 120 | -4 | adi - 75 | - 9 | adj | - 220 | -15 |  |
| aef - 36 | + 5 | aeg +210 | + 8 | aeh +90 | + 14 | aei | + 495 | + 40 | $+1$ |
| $b^{2} h+36$ | - 2 | $a f^{2}-126$ | -5 | afg - 42 | - 7 | afh | - 792 | -70 | - 4 |
| $b c g-126$ | + 7 | $b^{2} i$ | -1 | $b^{2} j+20$ | - 2 | $a g^{2}$ | +462 | + 42 | $+3$ |
| $b d f$ - 108 | +22 | $b c h$ | + 4 | $b c i-90$ | + 9 | $b^{2} k$ |  | - 3 |  |
| $b e^{2}+180$ | -25 | $b d g$ | -4 | $b d h+240$ | + 16 | $b c j$ |  | +15 |  |
| $c^{2} f+270$ | $-27$ | bef | +2 | beg - 420 | -63 | $b d i$ |  | - 25 | - 4 |
| cde -450 | + 45 | $c^{2} g$ | -4 | $b f^{2}+252$ | +42 | beh |  | + 30 | + 12 |
| $d^{3}+200$ | -20 | $c d f$ | +8 | $c^{2} h$ | - 30 | $b f g$ |  | -14 | - 8 |
|  |  | $c e^{2}$ | -5 | cdg | + 70 | $c^{2} i$ |  | - 15 | + 3 |
|  |  | $d^{2} e$ |  | cef | -21 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ dh |  | + 40 | - 8 |
|  |  |  |  | $d^{2} f$ | $-56$ | ceg |  | - 70 | -22 |
|  |  |  |  | $d e^{2}$ | + 35 | $c f^{2}$ |  | + 42 | +24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $d^{2} g$ |  |  | + 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | def |  |  | -36 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $e^{8}$ |  |  |  |

The canonical form given for the quintic in my Tenth Memoir on Quantics [693] belongs to a series, viz. writing now the small roman letters (instead of the italic letters) for the series of coefficients, and using the italic letters $a, c, d, e, f, \ldots$ to denote seminvariants, they are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0 & a=\mathrm{a}, \\
2 & c=\mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{b}^{2}, \\
3 & d=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d}-3 \mathrm{abc}+2 \mathrm{~b}^{3}(=f \text { in the tenth memoir }), \\
4 & e=\mathrm{ae}-4 \mathrm{bd}+3 \mathrm{c}^{2}(=b \text { in the tenth memoir }), \\
5 & f=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{f}-5 \mathrm{abe}+2 \mathrm{acd}+8 b^{2} \mathrm{~d}-6 \mathrm{bc}^{2}, \\
6 & g=\mathrm{ag}-6 \mathrm{bf}+15 \mathrm{ce}-10 \mathrm{~d}^{2}, \\
7 & h=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~h}-7 \mathrm{abg}+9 \mathrm{acf}-5 a d e+12 \mathrm{~b}^{2} \mathrm{f}-30 \mathrm{bce}+20 \mathrm{bd}^{2}, \\
8 & i=\mathrm{ai}-8 \mathrm{bh}+28 \mathrm{cg}-56 \mathrm{df}+35 \mathrm{e}^{2}, \\
& \text { \&c. }
\end{array}
$$

Writing also (instead of $d$ in the tenth memoir)

$$
\epsilon=a c e-a^{2}-b^{2} e+2 b c d-c^{3},
$$

so that the equation $a^{3} d-a^{2} b c+4 c^{3}-f^{2}=0$ of the tenth memoir, is in the present notation $a^{3} \varepsilon-a^{2} e c+4 c^{3}-d^{2}=0$, then the series of canonical forms is

Quadric ( $\left.1,0, c_{\chi} x, y\right)^{2}$,
Cubic ( $1,0, c, d \chi x, y)^{3}$,
Quartic ( $\left.1,0, c, d, a^{2} e-3 c^{2} 久 x, y\right)^{4}$,
Quintic ( $\left.1,0, c, d, a^{2} e-3 c^{2}, a^{2} f-2 c d \chi x, y\right)^{5}$,
$\& c$.
the series of coefficients being

$$
\begin{array}{rlllll}
1,0, c, d, & a^{2} e+1, & a^{2} f+1, & a^{4} g+1, & a^{4} h+1, & a^{6} i+1 \\
c^{2}-3 & c d-2 & a^{2} c e-15 & a^{2} c f-9 & a^{4} c g-28 \\
& & c^{3}+45 & a^{2} d e+5 & a^{4} e^{2}-35 \\
& & d^{2}+10 & c^{2} d+3 & a^{2} c^{2} e+630 \\
& & & & a^{2} d f+56 \\
& & & & c^{4}-1575 \\
& & & & c d^{2}-392
\end{array}
$$

these values being, in fact, the expressions in terms of the seminvariants $a, c, d, \& c$. of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,0, \quad a c, \quad a^{2} d, \quad a^{3} e, \quad a^{4} f, \quad a^{5} g, \quad a^{6} h, \quad a^{7} i \\
& -b^{2},-3 a b c,-4 a^{2} b d,-5 a^{3} b e,-6 a^{4} b f,-7 a^{5} b g,-8 a^{6} b h \\
& +2 b^{3},+6 a^{2} c,+10 a^{2} b^{2} d,+15 a^{3} b^{2} e,+21 a^{4} b^{2} f,+28 a^{5} b^{2} g \\
& -3 b^{4}, \quad-10 a^{3} c, \quad-20 a^{2} b^{3} d,-35 a^{3} b^{3} e,-56 a^{4} b^{3} f \\
& +4 b^{5}, \quad+15 a b^{4} c, \quad+35 a^{2} b^{4} d,+70 a^{3} b^{4} e \\
& -5 b^{6}, \quad-21 a b^{5} c,-56 a^{2} b^{5} d \\
& +6 b^{7}, \quad+28 a b^{6} c \\
& -7 b^{8} \\
& \text { 4-2 }
\end{aligned}
$$

I annex verifications of the foregoing values:


|  | $a^{6} i=$ | $-28 a^{4} c g$ | $-35 a^{4} e^{2}$ | $+56 a^{2} d f$ | $+630 a^{2} c^{2} e^{2}$ | $-1575 c^{4}$ | $-392 c^{2} d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}^{7} \mathrm{i}$ | +1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{a}^{6} \mathrm{bh}$ | $-8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cg | $+28$ | $-28$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| df | - 56 |  |  |  | + 56 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{e}^{2}$ | $+35$ |  | - 35 |  |  |  |  |
| $a^{5} b^{2} g$ |  | + 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| bcf |  | + 168 |  |  | - 168 |  |  |
| bde |  |  | $+280$ |  | - 280 |  |  |
| $c^{2} e$ |  | $-420$ | $-210$ | + 630 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cd}^{2}$ |  | $+280$ |  |  | + 112 |  | - 392 |
| $a^{4} b^{3} \mathrm{f}$ |  | - 168 |  |  | + 112 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{ce}$ |  | $+420$ |  | $-1260$ | + 840 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ |  | $-280$ | - 560 |  | + 448 |  | + 392 |
| $b^{2}$ d |  |  | + 840 | $-2520$ | - 672 |  | + 2352 |
| $\mathrm{c}^{4}$ |  |  | $-315$ | + 1890 |  | $-1575$ |  |
| $\mathrm{a}^{3} \mathrm{~b}^{4} e$ |  |  |  | + 630 | - 560 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}^{3} \mathrm{~cd}$ |  |  |  | + 5040 | - 1120 |  | - 3920 |
| $\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{3}$ |  |  | , | $-3780$ | +1008 | $+6300$ | - 3528 |
| $a^{2} b^{5} d$ |  |  |  | - 2520 | + 896 |  | + 1568 |
| $\mathrm{b}^{4} \mathrm{c}^{2}$ |  |  |  | +1890 | - 672 | $-9450$ | + 8232 |
| a $b^{6} \mathrm{~d}$ |  |  | , |  |  | + 6300 | $-6272$ |
| $\mathrm{a}^{0} \mathrm{~b}^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $-1575$ | + 1568 |

It would be interesting to obtain the general law for the expressions of the canonical coefficients in terms of the seminvariants.

