The common eighth point of intersection, if it exists, must be

$$(A, B, C); (A, Q, R), (B, R, P), (C, P, Q);$$

 $(P, B, C), (Q, C, A), (R, A, B); or (P, Q, R).$

There is no point (A, B, C).

There is no point (A, Q, R), for Q, R intersect only in the points 1, 2, 3, 4, no one of which lies on A; and similarly there is no point (B, R, P) or (C, P, Q).

B, C intersect in 5 which is a point on P, and thus 5 is the only point (P, B, C). Similarly 6 is the only point (Q, C, A) and 7 is the only point (R, A, B).

P, Q, intersect in 1, 2, 3, 4, which are each of them on R;

hence 1, 2, 3, 4 are the only points (P, Q, R).

Hence the points 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 present themselves each once, and only once, among the intersections of the three cubics, and there is no common eighth point of intersection.

ON WARING'S FORMULA FOR THE SUM OF THE mth POWERS OF THE ROOTS OF AN EQUATION.

By Prof. Cayley.

THE formula in question, Prob. I. of Waring's Meditationes Algebraica, Cambridge, 1782, making therein a slight change of notation, is as follows: viz. the equation being

$$x^{n} + bx^{n-1} + cx^{n-2} + dx^{n-3} + \dots = 0,$$

then we have

is

where, reckoning the weights of b, c, d, e ... as 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., respectively, the several terms are all the terms of the weight m, or (what is the same thing) in the coefficient of $b^{m-\theta}$ we have all the combinations of c, d, e, ..., (or say all the nonunitary combinations) of the weight θ , and where the numerical coefficient of

$$b^{m-\theta}c^{c}d^{d}e^{e}...(c+d+e+...=\theta),$$
 is
$$=(-)^{c+e+g+...}\frac{m\cdot m-(\theta-\delta+1)\cdot m-(\theta-\delta+2)\dots m-(\theta-1)}{\Pi c.\Pi d.\Pi e...}.$$

Thus for the term $b^{m-s}c^2e^1$, $\theta=8$; c, d, e=2, 4, 1 respectively (the other exponents each banishing), and the coefficient is

$$(-)^{3}\frac{m \cdot m - 6 \cdot m - 7}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}, = -\frac{1}{2}m \cdot m - 6 \cdot m - 7,$$

as above; and so in other cases.

For the Mc Mahon form

$$1 + bx + \frac{cx}{1 + 2} + \dots = (1 - \alpha x) (1 - \beta x) \dots$$
, or say

$$y^{n} + \frac{b}{1}y^{n-1} + \frac{c}{1 \cdot 2}y^{n-2} + \dots = (y - \alpha)(y - \beta)\dots,$$

we must for b, c, d, ..., write b, $\frac{c}{1,2}$, $\frac{d}{1,2,3}$, ... respectively: we thus have

$$(-)^{m}. S_{n} = b^{m}$$

$$- m \frac{c}{1.2}$$

$$+ m \frac{d}{1.2.3}$$

$$- m \frac{e}{1.2.3.4}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}m.m - 3\left(\frac{c}{1.2}\right)^{3}$$

$$+ &c.,$$

$$b^{m-4}$$

or say

$$(-)^{m} \Pi (m-1) S_{m} = \Pi (m-1) b^{4}$$

$$- \Pi m \frac{c}{1.2} b^{m-2}$$

$$+ \Pi m \frac{d}{1.2.3}$$

$$- \Pi m \frac{e}{1.2.3.4}$$

$$+ \Pi m \frac{m-3}{2} \left(\frac{c}{1.2}\right)^{3}$$

$$+ &c.,$$

the numerical coefficient of

$$b^{m-\theta}c^{c}d^{d}e^{e}\dots(c+d+e+\dots=\theta)$$

being

$$(-)^{c+e+g+\cdots} \frac{\Pi m \cdot m - (\theta - \delta + 1) \cdot m - (\theta - \delta + 2) \cdots m - (\theta - 1)}{\Pi c \cdot \Pi d \cdot \Pi e \cdots (\Pi 2)^{c} (\Pi 3)^{d} (\Pi 4)^{e} \cdots}.$$

It is convenient to write down the literal terms in alphabetical order (AO.), calculating and affixing to each term the proper numerical coefficient, thus

$$1 + bx + c\frac{x^2}{1 \cdot 2} + \dots = (1 - \alpha x)(1 - \beta x)(1 - \gamma x)\dots,$$

we find

$$\begin{array}{c} -120\,S_{e} = g \; . \qquad 1 \\ bf \; .- \; 6 \\ ce \; .- \; 15 \\ d^{s} \; .- \; 10 \\ b^{3}e \; .+ \; 30 \\ bcd \; .+ \; 120 \\ c^{3} \; \; .+ \; 30 \\ b^{3}d \; .- \; 120 \\ b^{2}c^{2} \; .- \; 270 \\ b^{4}c \; .+ \; 360 \\ b^{6} \; \; .- \; 120 \\ \vdots \; 541 \end{array}$$

this expression, as representing the value of the nonunitary function $S_{\rm e}$, being in fact a seminvariant.

It is to be remarked that the foregoing expression for the sum of the mth powers of the roots of the equation

$$x^{n} + bx^{n-1} + cx^{n-2} + \dots = 0$$

is in fact the series for x^m continued so far only as the exponent of b, is not negative: see as to this Note XI of Lagrange's Equations Numeriques. For the a posteriori verification, observe that we have

$$x + b + \frac{c}{x} + \frac{d}{x^2} + \dots = 0,$$

or writing for a moment u = -b, say this is

$$x = u + fx$$
, where $fx = -\frac{c}{x} - \frac{d}{x^3} - &c$.

Hence, by Lagrange's theorem,

$$x^{n} = u^{m}$$

$$- mu^{m-1} \left(\frac{c}{u} + \frac{d}{u^{2}} + \frac{e}{u^{3}} + \dots \right)$$

$$+ \left\{ mu^{m-1} \left(\frac{c}{u} + \frac{d}{u^{3}} + \frac{e}{u^{3}} + \dots \right)^{2} \right\}' \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2}$$

$$- \left\{ mu^{m-1} \left(\frac{c}{u} + \frac{d}{u^{2}} + \frac{e}{u^{3}} + \dots \right)^{2} \right\}'' \frac{1}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}$$

$$+ &c.,$$

where the accents denote differentiations in regard to u. This is

$$= u^{m}$$

$$- m \{cu^{m-3} + du^{m-3} + eu^{m-4} + fu^{m-5} + gu^{m-6} + \dots\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}m \{(m-3)c^{3}u^{m-4} + (m-4)2cdu^{m-5} + (m-5)(d^{2} + 2ce)u^{m-6} + \dots\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{6}m \{(m-4)(m-5)c^{3}u^{m-3} + \dots\}$$

$$+ &c.$$

$$= u^{m}$$

$$+ u^{m-2} - mc$$

$$+ u^{m-3} - md$$

$$+ u^{m-4} - me + \frac{1}{2}m \cdot m - 3 \cdot c^{3}$$

$$+ u^{m-5} - mf + \frac{1}{2}m \cdot m - 4 \cdot 2cd$$

$$+ u^{m-6} - mg + \frac{1}{2}m \cdot m - 5 \cdot (d^{2} + 2ce) - \frac{1}{6}m \cdot m - 4 \cdot m - 5 \cdot c^{8}$$

+ &c.,

which, putting therein u = -b and multiplying each side by $(-)^m$, is the before-mentioned formula for $(-)^m$. $S\alpha^m$, only in that formula the series is to be continued only so far as the

exponent of b is not negative.

I notice also that we cannot easily by means of the known formula $S\alpha^m\beta^p = S\alpha^m.S\alpha^p - S\alpha^{m+p}$, deduce an expression for $S\alpha^m\beta^p$: in fact, forming the product of the series for $S\alpha^m$, $S\alpha^p$ respectively, this product is identically equal to the series for $S\alpha^{m+p}$, or we seem to obtain $0 = S\alpha^mS\alpha^p - S\alpha^{m+p}$; to obtain the correct formula, we have to take each of the three series only so far as the exponent of b therein respectively is not negative; and it is not easy to see how the resulting formula is to be expressed.

A PROPERTY OF THE EQUATION OF CONDUCTION OF HEAT.

By J. Brill, M.A., St. John's College.

THE theorem to which I desire to call attention is as follows:

If f(x, y, z, t) be a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \alpha^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^3} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} \right),$$

then the expression

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2+z^2}{4a^2t}}f(\frac{x}{t}, \frac{y}{t}, \frac{z}{t}, -\frac{1}{t})$$

is also a solution.

I sent this as a question to the Mathematical Column of the *Educational Times*, but as a considerable time has elapsed without the appearance of a solution, I thought it desirable to publish my own.

Writing V = uv in the above equation, we have

$$v\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = a^{2}\left\{v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right) + u\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}\right) + 2\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)\right\};$$